1 MR. RANDOLPH: I would like to argue that at this
2 time, Your Honor, with respect to all of these, well, in
3 the main to this informant -- to this claim of privilege.
4 I have no objection to an in-camera presentation of the name
5 of that informant. But I can represent to this Court at this
6 time based upon the date that was just given, August of 1984,
7 that that person was in possession of that transcript in
8 direct violation of outstanding sealing orders from more
9 than one court. And if I get the exact date, I can indicate
10 to this Court how many courts that possession of that
11 transcript was in violation of. If, indeed, now we have a
12 situation --
13 THE COURT: Aren't we getting into a sideshow that
14 has nothing to do with the issues before me? Let us suppose
15 that somehow Judge Breckenridge's or Judge Cole's order,
16 if that's the one that you have in mind, --
17 MR. RANDOLPH: Those were two of them, yes.
18 THE COURT: -- were evaded. What's that got to
19 do with this proceeding?
20 MR. RANDOLPH: Well, Your Honor, the I. R. S. --
21 THE COURT: I'm sure Judge Breckenridge and
22 Judge Cole might be interested, but it doesn't have anything
23 to do with what I am doing, does it?
24 MR. RANDOLPH: I would be happy to brief this in
25 detail but very briefly.

EXHIBIT D